•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/071,071	PAZIENZA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738
Participants: Status of Application: After-Final before interview		
(1) <u>Urmi Chattopadhyay</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard J. Sterner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2004	Time: <u>5:10pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: None.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
2		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Discussed changing "expandable" to —helical— with respect to the first and second segments in claim 2 in order to be more consistent with the claim terminology used in claim 1, on which it depends.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Um thethe ben sign		
(Examiner/SPE signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)